

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/545,524	Applicant(s) HABERMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner IGOR BORISSOV	Art Unit 3628	

All Participants:

(1) IGOR BORISSOV.

(2) Representative George S. Haight IV.

Date of Interview: 08/04/2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 103

Claims discussed:
Independent Claims

Prior art documents discussed:
Cumulative teaching of prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/IGOR BORISSOV/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3628

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the Interview Examiner indicated that Applicant's arguments presented on on pages 8-9, of the response filed 06/09/2010 are deemed to be persuasive. Furthermore, changes to the claim language were discussed in order to clarify patentable subject matter and avoid issues under 35 USC 112 and 101. Finally, the Representative gave authorization for the Examiner Amendment to thereby place the claims into the condition of allowance.